

Post Incident Management (PIM)

Policy & Procedure

OFFICIAL

Government Security Classification Scheme	<i>Policy section:</i> OFFICIAL - Force website approved <i>Procedure section:</i> OFFICIAL - Force website approved
Department of Origin	Matrix
Procedure Holder	Inspector 5101 Matt Farmer, Matrix Operations
Author	Inspector 5101 Matt Farmer, Matrix Operations
Related Information	Police Pursuits Policy & Procedure Police Vehicle Collisions Policy & Procedure Critical Incident Policy & Procedure Health & Safety Policy & Procedure Police Vehicle Telematics Policy & Procedure
This Version	1.8
Created / Modified	11/06/2026
Last Approved (SMB)	20/07/2016
Review By	11/06/2029

Contents

Policy	2
Statement.....	2
Aims	2
Objectives	3
Application and Scope.....	3
Outcome Evaluation	4
Procedure	5
Version History.....	5
1. Criteria for Implementation of Post Incident Procedures (PIP)	7
1.1 Overview.....	7
1.2 Death.....	8
1.3 Serious Injuries.....	9
1.4 Scalability	9
2. PIP Roles & Responsibilities	10
2.1 Incident Operational Commander (Bronze)	10
2.2 Force Incident Manager (FIM).....	11
2.3 NPCC officer	12
2.4 Post Incident Manager (PIM).	12
2.5 Appointed Officer.	13
2.6 Initial Investigating Officer (IIO). Professional Standards Department	14
2.7 Force Medical Examiner (FME)	14
2.8 Police Federation Representative / staff association representatives.....	14
3. PIP Implementation & Process	14
3.1 Recognition of necessity & notification	14
3.2 Procedure initiation and assignment of roles	15
3.3 Continuity of conventional policing	15
3.4 Warning officers re: conferring	16
3.5 Scenes, evidence and witnesses.....	17
3.6 Identification of Key Police Witnesses	17
3.7 Anonymity of officers / staff.....	18
3.8 Preparation of PIP suite.....	19
3.9 Removal of officer(s) / staff to PIP suite.....	19
3.10 Separation of officers / staff.....	19
3.11 Seizure of clothing and or equipment	20
3.12 Notifying next of kin re deaths	21
3.13 Welfare of Key Police Witnesses	21
3.14 Obtaining accounts.....	22
3.15 Custody Suite Incidents	25
3.16 Road Traffic Collision Incidents	26
3.17 Incidents involving Negotiators.....	27
3.18 Debriefs.....	27
4. PIP venues	27
4.1 Selection and activation of PIP venues	27
5. Glossary	29
5.1 Definitions.....	29
5.2 Abbreviations	31

Policy

Statement

Prior to the implementation of the procedures contained within this document, formal Post Incident Procedures (PIP) have only existed for the purposes of death or serious injury (DSI) incidents caused by the discharge of firearms by armed police officers.

A PIP is a formally defined process, providing a means of securing and preserving evidence relating to a police incident and obtaining untainted personal accounts from officers based on their honestly held belief.

This procedure will extend the principles of PIP to apply to other DSI incidents throughout Merseyside Police.

“Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights imposes a positive duty on the state to conduct an effective official investigation when individuals have been killed as a result of the use of force by agents of the state, (i.e. the police).” (Authorised Professional Practice).

Paragraph 14B, schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act 2002, places a statutory duty on chief officers to ensure that evidence relating to DSI incidents is obtained and preserved.

Authorised Professional Practice (APP) provides guidance on deaths and “adverse incidents” in custody, as well as detailed Post Incident Procedure guidance as it relates to police use of firearms. This procedure is cognisant of the requirements of APP in relation to both custody and general PIP issues.

Aims

This procedure aims to establish the use of formal Post Incident Procedures (PIP), when necessary, following any death or serious injury concerning Merseyside Police.

It will thereby have a direct effect on ensuring that:

- 1) Public trust and confidence in Merseyside Police is promoted and maintained.
- 2) That the Chief Constable’s duty of care to the officers / staff of Merseyside Police is effectively practised.
- 3) That Merseyside Police’s response to DSI incidents is sufficiently thorough.
- 4) To identify any operational or safety critical issues in respect of procedure, training or equipment used.
- 5) To ensure that the applicable law is properly followed and implemented so that where appropriate effective criminal proceedings are brought.
- 6) To ensure police accountability whether or not criminal proceedings are brought.

Objectives

The broader aims of this procedure are as set out above. Its specific objectives will be to:

- 1) Deliver consistency across all areas of business in Merseyside Police, when dealing with DSI incidents.
- 2) Ensure that the welfare of officers and staff following DSI incidents, is formally protected and addressed.
- 3) Ensure that we act effectively in securing evidence, in line with golden hour principles and with transparency, in order to maintain public confidence and trust in the outcome of Police investigations or, where applicable, Merseyside Police's involvement in IOPC investigations.
- 4) Ensure that Merseyside Police acts in compliance with its obligations in statute and as prescribed by Authorised Professional Practice.
- 5) Provide a point of reference for officers and staff of Merseyside Police, who may become a participant in Post Incident Procedures, be responsible for implementing them, or be engaged in running them.

Application and Scope

All police officers and police staff, including the extended police family and those working voluntarily or under contract to Merseyside Police must be aware of, and are required to comply with, all relevant policy and associated procedures. Military staff who are under Police Command will also come under the scope of this policy as per the MOU entitled "Conventional Military Post Incident Procedures, England and Wales," which was published on 1st June 2024 and documents an agreement between the MOD, Home Office, IOPC and NPCC regarding inclusion of Military Personnel in PIP.

Any officer or member of staff can potentially be identified as a Key Police Witness.

This procedure will incorporate DSI incidents occurring in custody suites, formerly falling under the "Adverse Incidents Protocol". Any incidents which would fall under the Adverse Incidents Protocol, but not within the criteria of this policy, shall continue to be covered by that protocol. Further detail is provided in [section 3.14](#).

This procedure is of relevance to those officers and staff defined as having specific roles within a PIP, as listed in [section 3](#) of this procedure. Such officers must be aware of the roles and responsibilities attributed to them and must be cognisant of the requirements placed on them, should they become involved in a PIP.

Where a DSI incident occurs in the Merseyside area, but involves officers or staff from another force, that force shall be responsible for implementing Post Incident Procedures and nominating a Post Incident Manager. However, it is recognised that a joint approach to managing the incident may be necessary and that Merseyside Police will naturally need to put in place initial actions relating to scene management etc. It is recommended that the duty Post Incident Managers from each Force discuss the running of a PIP in such

circumstances. Geographical considerations will form a strong part of the rationale as to how to co-ordinate a cross-border PIP, for example if Merseyside Officers were deployed to Devon and Cornwall policing area and invoked PIP then it would be expected that Devon and Cornwall would run the initial PIP whilst keeping the Merseyside FDO, Police Federation Duty PIM, PSD and NPCC informed.

Outcome Evaluation

Reviews of this procedure will include a dip sample of PIP's implemented within Merseyside Police, to ensure that their conduct is compatible with the terms of this procedure and therefore meet its aims and objectives. These audits will be completed by the Lead PIM.

Following the conclusion of a PIP and any associated investigation (whether conducted by Merseyside Police or the IOPC), consideration should be given to arranging a structured debrief with all parties in cases where it is clear that organisational learning can be obtained. This may lead to amendment of this procedure where necessary. It will be the responsibility of the PIM who led the PIP to ensure a debrief takes place in these circumstances. Such a debrief should only take place after providing detailed accounts or evidential statements to the IIA; and where there is an indication that, having considered available evidence, there is no suggestion of criminal action or misconduct on the part of anyone attending the debrief. Where such criminal acts or misconduct have been identified, this should be taken into account in determining whether a debrief is appropriate. Legal advice should be obtained as appropriate.

As of August 2024, a PIM Feedback Questionnaire has been created and is circulated to all KPW's at the conclusion of a PIP. The feedback is submitted anonymously and is collated by the Lead PIM who periodically reviews the feedback to consider any local changes that may be implemented to improve the PIM experience for staff. The Lead PIM will consult with Merseyside Police Federation as part of this process.

Prior to final approval, this procedure has taken due consideration of consultation with Force Custody, Force Negotiators, Roads Policing and the Professional Standards Department, Superintendents Association, Merseyside Police Federation, Unison, Occupational Health and Human Resources Department. Any future amendments to this procedure deemed necessary should be made in consultation with these departments where appropriate.

Procedure

Version History

Version Number	Date	Detailed rational behind amending/updating policy or procedure.	Policy Owner Details	Policy Author Details
1.1	12/11/18	- Amendment to section 3.16. Due to change of structure in Matrix Operations - Amendment to PIM Suite list.		5101
1.2	12/11/19	Amendment to PSD involvement in procedures		5101
1.3	07/08/20	- Change to Outcome Evaluation – Further information regarding requirement to hold structured de-briefs. - Amendment to s1.1 – Duty PIM to be involved in decision making process as to whether a PIP is to be held or not. - Change to s1.3 – Definition of Serious Injury. APP has reduced the threshold in this area of the PIM Criteria. Merseyside Police Chief Officer Team have agreed that the previous definition of serious injury (Life changing/Likely to prove) will remain - Additional information from APP added to s1.4 (Scalability)		5101
1.4	22/10//21	- S3.18 Debriefs – Additional information added regarding consideration for TRIM referrals - S4.1 – Amendment to details of PIP Suites		5101
1.5	07/0922	S3.14 – Paragraph added regarding requirement to record Personal Initial and Detailed Accounts on Form MG11s.		5101
1.6	23/05/24	- Title Change to PIP Policy changed from DSI Policy - Application and Scope section – Paragraph removed regarding policy not applying to police shooting incidents. - Application and scope section – Addition of guidance regarding joint force PIPs where KPWs are from more than one Force. - Section 1.1 – Clarification of roles and responsibilities regarding decision making process.	Ch. Supt. Matrix	5101

		• Section 2.2 removal of FDO role from process • Section 2.2 – Update regarding FIM Duties		
1.7	24/04/25	• Application and Scope. Amendment to 1.1 to include Military Staff • Application and Scope. Amendment to 1.5 providing further guidance on cross-border PIPs • Outcome Evaluation – Inserted 1.3 covering use of Feedback Questionnaires for all KPWs • Criteria for implementation of PIP – 1.2 Death. Further examples of situations where PIPs should and should not be implemented • 1.4 – Further detail on “Scalability” commencing process at Stage 4 • 2.9 – Update on role of Police Fed and appointment of Legal Representative. • 3.4 – Additional detail added concerning non-conferring advice, how it is to be recorded and use of BWV • 3.7 – Clarification in respect of initial anonymity. PIM makes initial decision that is later ratified by Chief Officer. • 3.13 – Update concerning TRIM/OHU appointments taking place after Stage 4. • Title of Policy changed from DSI Policy to Post Incident Management Policy • 3.14 – Changes made to wording of non-conferring advice as per recent changes to APP • 1.1 – Paragraph 2. ACC Wilson has instructed that the duty NPCC Officer does not need to be informed if an initial decision is made to NOT hold a PIP		
1.7.1	02/07/25	• Update to formatting/layout to follow Force standard policy template • Variation to number sequence in section 2, due to missing 2.4. Numbers from 2.5 to 2.9 now 2.4 to 2.8. Remaining Procedure numbering sequence unchanged.	Ch. Supt. Matrix	33419
1.8	11/06/26	• 3.14 – Insertion of changes to access to reference material in Stage 4 Accounts section • 3.14 – Inclusion of requirement to submit Use of Force Forms as per PSD Command Team’s instruction	Ch. Supt. Matrix	5101

		• 3.14 – Inclusion of requirement to submit Firearms Discharge Notification Form as per Armed Policing APP • Updated naming conventions in line with new force structure • General formatting refresh		
--	--	---	--	--

1. Criteria for Implementation of Post Incident Procedures (PIP)

1.1 Overview

The decision as to whether post incident procedures (PIP) are implemented will ultimately be taken by a Chief Officer. The initial stage of the decision-making process will require the Duty FIM to contact the Duty Post Incident Manager and Duty PSD Officer, relay the circumstances of the incident for which a PIP is being considered and jointly make an assessment as to whether the PIP Criteria is met and if it is necessary to commence Post Incident Procedures. PSD will inform the IOPC if it is agreed that a PIP will be invoked. If an initial decision has been made to commence PIP, then the FIM will update the Duty Chief Officer who will ratify or negate the decision and give formal authorisation for a PIP to commence if agreed.

If an initial decision is made to NOT hold a PIP by the FIM, PIM and PSD then a Chief Officer does NOT need to be notified. The Duty PIM will take responsibility for making the Police Federation aware of the decision to NOT hold a PIP in order that any additional welfare considerations that fall outside of the PIP process can be addressed.

The criteria for referral of death or serious incidents (DSI) to the IOPC is wide ranging and it is neither appropriate nor practicable to commence a PIP for every referral.

Post incident investigations will commence in all situations where there has been contact with police or some causational link, intentional or unintentional which has or may have;

- 1) Resulted in death or serious injury
- 2) Revealed failings in command
- 3) Caused danger to officers or the public

Sections [1.2](#) and [1.3](#) below, provide greater detail in relation to when DSI incidents are likely, or otherwise, to require the implementation of PIP.

It is recognised that the implementation of a set criteria can be problematic, as each individual incident will inevitably have its own unique set of circumstances and particular issues. As such it is recognised that the decision as to whether or not a PIP is necessary can be complex and will ultimately be one for Chief Officers.

1.2 Death

There are five different categories of death in police contact as defined by the IOPC (for detailed guidance as to whether or not a particular incident fits the definition of being 'in police contact' please see the definition in [section 5](#)).

In all cases of death meeting the definition of being in 'Police contact', a PIP should be given due consideration with reference made to the overarching principles set out in [section 1.1](#).

Where there has been a death in Police contact, which has arisen as a direct result of use of force by an officer / member of staff, then generally a PIP will be advisable as the best means of securing an untainted and accurate account of the Key Police Witness's honestly held belief, in addition to any other evidence.

Ultimately, it will be a decision for the Chief Officer as to;

- 1) Whether any of the overarching principles apply.
- 2) Whether or not implementing Post Incident Procedures at that time will be of sufficient benefit to the investigation to make it necessary and proportionate.

As such a PIP may not be implemented in every instance where a death is referred to the IOPC.

The below are examples of deaths in police contact which must be referred to the IOPC, but where it is not likely that a PIP would be proportionate or necessary:

- The subject is released from custody and several days later is found deceased, suspected to have taken their own life. There is no information to suggest that the motivation for their death was linked to their arrest or time in police custody
- Officers attend at a flat to affect the arrest of a wanted subject. On calling at the front door, the subject knows he is wanted for arrest, so jumps from a window in an effort to escape and in doing so is fatally injured. The officers were never in physical contact with the subject and did nothing more than knock at the door. The matter would be referred to the IOPC and may even be subject of an independent investigation, but a PIP is neither necessary nor proportionate.

Similar situations to those described above should always be discussed between the FIM, PIM and PSD.

Conversely, the below are examples of situations which fall within the overarching principles and where there would be a clear benefit of implementing PIP:

- A police driver is engaged in a pursuit of a motor vehicle. The officer makes a decision to make a tactical contact with the subject vehicle in an effort to end the pursuit. As a result of the collision, the subject vehicle leaves the

road and hits a tree resulting in the immediate death of the driver. The police driver and any passenger/s in the police vehicle would be declared as KPW's also any officer who may have given tactical advice such as a RPU Tacad or Dispatch Supervisor in the control room.

- Officers attend a dwelling in response to a report of a domestic incident. Upon arrival the officers are attacked by the subject and one of the officers deploys a baton strike to the subject rendering him unconscious. Officers render first aid, but the subject dies at the scene. All officers who were at scene and in contact with the subject and any who witnessed force being used would be declared KPW's
- Police are called to a person in distress standing at the top of a multi-storey car park. Officers attempt to speak to the female and try to talk her into stepping to safety without success. Force negotiators deploy and speak to the subject, after several minutes of negotiation she jumps and is pronounced deceased. The officers who were first at scene and initiated contact with the female, the trained negotiators and any officers witnessing the event would be declared KPWs.

1.3 Serious Injuries

A serious injury is a fracture, deep cut, deep laceration or injury causing damage to an internal organ or the impairment of any bodily function (see [section 29, Police Reform Act 2002](#)).

The IOPC definition of a serious injury is wide ranging and effectively covers injuries such as Actual Bodily Harm (As per section 29 Police Reform Act 2002). Whilst all such serious injuries in police contact will continue to be referred by PSD to the IOPC as required by law, it is by no means intended that all serious injuries should be subject to Post Incident Procedures. In some cases, the full procedure may not need to take place every time and will depend on the circumstance and consequences of the event. This would not be necessary, proportionate or practical.

As such, implementation of Post Incident Procedures should be considered in the case of serious injury when:

- 1) The injury is likely to lead to death.
- 2) The injury is of such a serious nature, that it is likely to be life changing for the subject. Examples may include, but are not limited to an amputation, an injury causing paralysis, an injury causing blindness, etc.
- 3) The circumstances of the DSI may require the declaration of a Critical Incident.

1.4 Scalability

The Chief Officer may make a decision that although post incident procedures are necessary, a full PIP is disproportionate to the nature of the DSI incident.

In such circumstances, 'post incident procedures are scalable, and forces should consider their proportionate application according to the circumstances.' (APP 07-002). Post incident procedures are therefore a flexible approach to post incident investigation, being able to be scaled up or down dependent upon the circumstances of the incident.

"scalability" may include bypassing the first 3 stages of the Post Incident Process, commencing at Stage 4, which is the detailed account phase that takes place at least 48hrs after the initial incident. An example of when this may be appropriate would include a situation where a suspect is arrested and lodged in custody after moderate force was used on arrest, the arresting officers complete initial statements and go off duty, the suspect then has a medical episode in custody and is immediately taken to hospital where medical staff state he is likely to prove.

A trained Post Incident Manager can offer advice to the FIM and/or Chief Officer as to how a PIP may be scaled back and any implications that may require to be considered.

In making this decision due cognisance should be made of the needs of investigators and the investigative process. Consultation with investigators should therefore occur before a decision as to the extent of the PIP is made.

The associated procedure is scalable and, while all elements of the procedure should be considered, it may not be necessary to apply them every time. This will depend on the circumstances, significance and consequences of the event.

APP states:

Where a DSI occurs, regardless of whether force has been used, post-incident procedures need to ensure that investigations are conducted in a manner which

- ensures the integrity of the legal process in respect of police action, persons arrested, or evidential material seized
- enables follow-up action related to any ongoing crime
- identifies any operational or safety-critical issues in respect of procedures, training or equipment used
- ensures individual, team and organisational learning takes place and is addressed locally and nationally, as appropriate.

2. PIP Roles & Responsibilities

2.1 Incident Operational Commander (Bronze)

When an incidence of DSI occurs, a supervisor will be identified as a bronze commander and shall attend the scene promptly, if not already present. In practice this supervisor will likely be a Critical Incident Manager.

Until the arrival of that supervisor, this procedure places a duty on any officer at the scene to give due regard to the initial responsibilities of the bronze commander.

Responsibilities:

- Will ensure that the operational needs of the incident have been met or, if this is not practicable, that officers who will not be involved in the PIP are deployed to continue the operational response to the incident, once the KPW's have left the scene to attend the PIP suite. An example of this would be a situation whereby a DSI incident has occurred, but a threat to some person's safety or to property still exists, requiring a police response.
- Will ensure that first aid is being administered to casualties pending the arrival of Northwest Ambulance Service.
- Will ensure that the scene is protected, and that evidence is preserved until the arrival of the Initial Investigating Officer (IIO).
- Should ensure that any weapon(s) or perceived weapon of the subject (person suffering DSI) is located and secured in situ unless it is dangerous to do so.
- Liaises with the IIO (PSD and/or IOPC) and, where Taser has been deployed as part of the incident, ensures that a suitably qualified officer supervises the safe unloading of the device(s) and identifies which device was carried by which officer.
- Will remind officers and staff about their responsibilities regarding conferring as detailed in [section 3.4](#) of this policy.

2.2 Force Incident Manager (FIM)

On being notified of a DSI incident the FIM shall assume the role of initial Silver Commander.

Responsibilities:

- Will remain operational until satisfied that there is no longer a risk of further injury/death or damage to property, that the scene has been secured and is being managed and that the PIP has been implemented (if approved).
- Will ensure that an Operational Commander has been identified and is either at scene or en-route.
- Considers the safety of the public and police personnel, and the immediate welfare of casualties.
- Immediately inform the duty PIM of the potential need for a PIP and provides a full briefing. The FIM, PIM and PSD will discuss whether the criteria for PIP is met and if a PIP is necessary under the circumstances.
- Contact duty PSD officer and involve them in the initial decision-making process regarding whether to invoke a PIP
- If an initial decision is agreed to invoke a PIP, the FIM will brief the Duty NPCC who will formally authorise PIP to commence. If the initial decision is to NOT hold a PIP, then there is no requirement to contact the Duty NPCC.
- Will ensure that the DSI incident is referred to the IOPC after consultation with PSD and a Chief Officer. This task may be delegated to Duty PSD.

- Will arrange for the relocation of officers and staff involved, to the venue of the PIP.
- Considers which officers and/or staff are to be treated as Key Police Witnesses, in conjunction with the PIM and PSD. It is envisaged that the PIM and PSD will take the primary role in establishing KPW's
- Establishes the facts of what has taken place and ensures that all relevant information is recorded on the Storm log.
- Should consider at an early stage restricting access to Storm logs.

2.3 NPCC officer

On being notified of a DSI incident, if the decision is made to commence a full post incident procedure, then the Chief Officer will assume Gold command.

Responsibilities:

- Initiating Post Incident Procedures.
- Ensuring that investigation and welfare procedures are implemented.
- Appoints a PIM.
- Appoints an IIO.
- Ensures that the Key Police Witnesses are identified.
- Considers referral to IOPC.
- Subject to referral to the IOPC, agrees the media strategy.
- Ensures that all relevant information is recorded.

2.4 Post Incident Manager (PIM).

The PIM will be appointed by the Chief Officer. PIM's receive an initial training course, followed by annual refresher training and will be recredited every five years.

The PIM will, when practicable, be an officer of at least the rank of Inspector.

Responsibilities:

- Facilitates the investigation.
- Ensures the integrity of the process.
- Ensures that the needs of Key Police Witnesses are addressed in a manner which does not compromise the investigative process.
- Opens a policy log and ensures that all relevant information and decisions are recorded, including any issues of anonymity.
- Considers which officers and/or staff are to be treated as Key Police Witnesses, in conjunction with the Chief Officer, PSD, and FDO/FIM.
- Establishes immediate contact with the Key Police Witnesses and ensures that they are given immediate support.
- Considers whether to assign a member of the PIM team to each individual Key Police Witness.

- Advises all relevant members of staff that Post Incident Procedures have been implemented and fully explains the PIM role.
- Meets the IIO or any representative from the IOPC.
- Takes measures to ensure the physical and emotional wellbeing of the staff involved.
- Considers the attendance of a doctor or health advisor.
- Ensures access to telephones to enable officers / staff to contact relatives or friends.
- Informs relevant departments and agencies of the need to attend, if necessary (i.e. the occupational health unit, doctor or FME and staff associations as appropriate).
- Ensures that any necessary forensic procedures are dealt with as early as possible, and that officers / staff are fully informed of the relevance of the procedures (e.g. the seizure of exhibits).
- Maintains dialogue with the IIO and addresses issues with them including press releases and the progress of the investigation, to update officers / staff.
- Advises Key Police Witnesses of their responsibilities regarding the discussion of the incident with colleagues.
- Facilitates the process in which officers / staff provide accounts and ensures these (where made) are provided to the IOPC.

2.5 Appointed Officer.

The Appointed Officer will be appointed by the Chief Officer. He or she will, when practicable, be an officer of at least the rank of Inspector and is a qualified PIM.

Responsibilities:

- Works under the direction of the PIM within the PIP suite.
- May support the PIM in his or her roles and responsibilities where they relate to the obtaining of accounts from officers.
- Must fully record their observations and actions.
- Establishes Key Police Witness' legal status.
- Ensure access to legal representation / staff association or DLS where necessary or requested.
- Explains conferring guidance from APP to Key Police Witnesses.
- Ensures that any conferring that takes place is compliant with APP and documented by those conferring.
- Prevents any inappropriate conferring (e.g.: conferring that relates to an individual's honestly held belief at the time force was used).
- Ensures that any reference material used by the officers is secured and handed to investigators against a receipt / exhibit number.
- Documents the process by which accounts are provided (including interruptions, breaks and abstractions).
- Ensures that all accounts are time stamped by those making them as soon as practicable after completion.

2.6 Initial Investigating Officer (IIO). Professional Standards Department

- PSD to be included in the initial discussion around the PIM process
- Has early dialogue with the IOPC to agree responsibilities and key actions.
- Opens a policy log and ensures all relevant information and decisions are recorded, including issues of anonymity.
- Agrees initial terms of reference with FIM
- Identifies and preserves evidence.
- Ensures effective scene management.
- Considers which officers or staff are to be treated as Key Police Witnesses (in conjunction with the Chief Officer, FIM/FDO and PIM).
- Identifies and consider relevant national guidelines.
- Liaises with the FIM on initial actions at the scene.
- Liaises with and consults the PIM.
- Makes early contact with the appointed investigator from the IOPC.
- Carries out those enquiries deemed urgent and those that may assist in the collation of evidence which may be lost prior to the arrival of the investigator from the IOPC.
- Agrees initial objectives in relation to forensic evidence.
- Makes early contact with the pathologist (where appropriate).
- Liaises with CSI.
- Gather materials necessary for Stage 4 PIM Process such as Body worn camera footage, copies of incident logs.

2.7 Force Medical Examiner (FME)

- If required by the PIM or IIO, will attend the PIP suite for the purpose of medical examinations of officers or staff for welfare reasons. Should any concerns be made post examination the PIM will ensure that measures are subsequently taken to bring this to the attention of a TRIM assessor or OHU to enable the matter to be monitored longer term.

2.8 Police Federation Representative / staff association representatives

- Will be requested by the PIM to attend the PIP suite in order to offer support and advice to Key Police Witnesses where necessary.
- Determines if any legal representation is required and arranges appointment of legal representative if considered appropriate

3. PIP Implementation & Process

3.1 Recognition of necessity & notification

- If an officer is involved in any incident whereby a death or injury occurs to any person, they must inform the control room immediately.

- At this point a Storm CAD log should be created, if there is not already one in existence for the incident which officers are attending. If the DSI incident is as a result of a Road Traffic Collision, for example where officers are en-route to an incident, then a separate cross-referenced log should be created.
- A suitable officer should be identified to act as the Bronze Commander (this can be an officer at scene, as may be the case in a custody suite, or if a supervisor is in attendance at an incident), but otherwise a Bronze Commander should be deployed to the scene of the DSI incident. In most cases, it is likely that the Bronze Commander will be a Critical Incident Manager or in some circumstances a patrol Sergeant / Custody Sergeant.
- The FIM will assess the facts and determine if there has been a death or serious injury and whether that DSI falls within the definition of police contact
- The FIM will assess the facts against the criteria defined in [section 1](#) of this procedure and make a further assessment as to whether a PIP will be necessary.
- The FIM will contact the duty PIM to discuss whether the PIP criteria has been met to consider whether it is necessary and proportionate to instigate a PIP. The FIM will involve PSD in this decision-making process
- The FIM will perform a “gatekeeper” function in respect of the decision to hold a PIP. The FIM will then assume the position of silver commander and, after consultation with the Duty PIM and PSD, contact the duty NPCC Officer who will consider the implementation of a PIP. If the initial decision agreed between the FIM, PIM and PSD is to NOT hold a PIP then it will not be necessary to contact the Duty NPCC Officer

3.2 Procedure initiation and assignment of roles

- The Chief Officer will assess the facts against the criteria defined in section 1 of this procedure and make a final decision as to whether a PIP will be implemented and whether it will be a full PIP or a scalable version.
- It may be the case that the incident falls outside of the criteria for implementation of a PIP as defined by this procedure, but the Chief Officer retains the right to implement a PIP based on the particular details of a given incident.
- The Chief Officer will appoint an IIO (Duty PSD Officer), PIM and Appointed Officer, and the FIM will ensure that sufficient staff are resourced to form the PIP team which will be under the direction of the PIM.
- The FIM has access to a list of qualified PIM's / Appointed Officers supplied by Matrix including an on-call list for out of hours PIP's.

3.3 Continuity of conventional policing

The FIM will be responsible for ensuring that any impact to the on-going business of the force, caused by the need to resource a PIP and remove officers to a PIP suite, is mitigated as far as possible.

It may be necessary to consider initiating Force mobilisation Plans in order to supplement officers / staff in the affected area.

3.4 Warning officers re: conferring

The Bronze Commander at the scene or FIM will remind officers / staff of the contents of this section of the procedure.

Further advice in relation to conferring will be reaffirmed by the PIM at the earliest opportunity and ensure that the details of the non-conferring advice are recorded on the incident log. These details should include who gave the non-conferring advice, at what time and to whom. The KPW's should be instructed to keep their Body worn Camera's switched on until instructed to switch them off by the PIM at the PIM Suite. This is a measure that is taken to ensure the integrity of the process.

The following wording summarises the position relating to conferring and all points within should be conveyed to officers:

Officers and staff should not confer before making their accounts (whether initial or subsequent accounts).

It is important that KPWs individually record their honestly held recollection of the circumstances particularly where force is used.

Therefore, there is no need for them to confer with others about what was in their mind at the time of the incident and when force was used. The recall of witnesses can be affected by hearing the accounts of others. Arrangements for obtaining all accounts should ensure that this potential is avoided.

This guidance should not prevent any officer from relaying operational or safety critical information to officers involved in the ongoing management of the incident or operation. If there is a necessity to provide such information to another person then, in order to ensure transparency and maintain public confidence, the fact that conferring has taken place must be documented highlighting;

- Time, date and place where conferring took place.
- The issues discussed.
- With whom.
- The reasons for discussion

3.5 Scenes, evidence and witnesses.

It is of paramount importance that any scenes relating to a DSI incident be secured and managed as soon as possible.

A scene log should be commenced at the earliest opportunity.

Any evidence which could be at risk of loss should be secured immediately and any witness details obtained before they leave the scene.

Police vehicles must not be moved from their positions without the agreement of the IIO, unless there is a legitimate and absolute necessity due to critical safety issues.

Any weapon, or perceived weapon, of a subject should be secured in situ unless to do so would be dangerous.

Officers should be aware that any failure to follow basic golden hour principles at the scene of a DSI incident, particularly if the actions of police officers are later called into question, may result in a lack of public trust and confidence in Merseyside Police and the legitimacy of initial investigations.

An open, transparent, and thorough Golden Hour based approach to a scene will help to ensure that there will be no perception of impropriety on the part of officers or staff.

3.6 Identification of Key Police Witnesses

A Key Police Witness is an officer / member of staff who can give direct evidence of the circumstances leading to a use of force, or leading to a decision made / action taken, which led to a death or serious injury.

Examples of a Key Police Witness could be:

- An individual who used force on the subject.
- A driver involved in an RTC.
- An individual directly witnessing a use of force.
- An officer maintaining constant observations during a death in custody.
- A force negotiator.

This is by no means an exhaustive list and the identification of Key Police Witnesses will depend to a large extent on the basic facts of an individual incident.

Key Police Witnesses will be identified at the earliest opportunity by PSD, PIM, FIM

When operationally stood down the Key Police Witnesses will all be removed from the scene to the PIP location.

As the investigation continues, Key Police Witnesses beyond those initially highlighted, may be identified.

3.7 Anonymity of officers / staff

It is expected that issues around anonymity in DSI cases will only need to be considered in a minority of cases and each incident should therefore be considered in isolation. The issues of affording anonymity in each case will be considered and authorised by a Chief Officer after consultation with the PIM and PSD.

The Lead PIM will introduce anonymity during the initial stages of the PIP if they deem it to be necessary. The PIM will record the details of which pseudonyms refer to each officer on a sheet of paper which will be sealed in an envelope. The details will be handed to a Chief Officer at a Gold Group Meeting which will be convened to consider whether continued anonymity will be authorised by the Chief Officer chairing the meeting. It is recognised that there may be more efficient and practical ways of making decisions on anonymity and a common-sense approach should be applied whilst ensuring identities are protected.

Anonymity will normally be achieved by providing each officer with a unique reference, which will replace their name and force identification number on all documentation. An example might be “Witness 1” or “Witness Alpha”. The assignment of such pseudonyms should be random to avoid assumptions that, for example, “Witness 1” will be the most significant officer / member of staff involved in the incident.

The PIM will be personally responsible for the list of identities and their corresponding pseudonyms, and for keeping that list secure.

It is essential that officers and staff not involved in the PIP are made aware that their colleagues have been granted anonymity for their safety and potentially that of their families. Supervisors are expected to take a robust approach in preventing any unnecessary discussion as to the identity of officers involved. Staff asking questions about an incident subject to PIP should be positively challenged and the reasons behind anonymity explained to them.

The aim of providing anonymity is to maintain the safety of witnesses, their families and their property and prevent undue media intrusion at a witness’ home.

For example, it may be the case that the subject involved in the DSI incident is a member of an Organised Crime Group or is closely related to such persons.

A threat assessment should be considered relating to the witness, their family and home.

3.8 Preparation of PIP suite

The PIM will identify the nearest available / suitable PIP suite and make arrangements for the tasking of officers to clear the venue, should this be appropriate or practicable, of any staff not involved in the PIP, before preparing the suite for the reception of officers / staff.

[Section 4](#) provides the locations that may be utilised as a PIP suite within Merseyside Police.

3.9 Removal of officer(s) / staff to PIP suite

As soon as practicable, after the scene has been secured, officers / staff involved in the DSI incident should be removed to the PIP suite identified by the FIM.

Prompt removal of officers / staff from the scene is particularly important where anonymity is being put in place.

If it has been decided at this early stage that it is necessary to separate officers / staff (see [section 3.10](#) below) then they will need to be transported in separate vehicles.

3.10 Separation of officers / staff

It may be necessary to separate Key Police Witnesses in order to prevent conferring.

A decision will be made by the PIM as to whether or not separation of Key Police Witnesses will be necessary in the first instance. If the PIM decides to instigate separation, the decision can be reversed later if it is found to be unnecessary.

Officers should not be separated as a matter of routine. Officers should only be separated when it is necessary, safe, and practical to do so.

The decision to separate will be necessary when there are grounds to suppose that:

- A criminal offence may have been committed by a Key Police Witness.
- A disciplinary offence may have been committed by a Key Police Witness.
- Guidance is not being complied with and officers have/may confer inappropriately.

Separation may also be considered where the only evidence of the circumstances of a use of force lies in the hands of a very limited number of officers / staff.

Once it has been established that separation is necessary, it should be considered whether separation is safe in relation to on-going operational circumstances. Separation must not be initiated until it is safe to do so.

If separation is deemed necessary and can be safely implemented, it should be considered whether or not it is practical.

Whether or not separation is practical, will depend on factors such as the location of the incident, the number of officers who need to be separated and the resources available to achieve separation (particularly relating to vehicles and drivers for conveyance and to suitable accommodation at PIP venues).

The rationale for either separating or not separating Key Police Witnesses, should be recorded appropriately. This may be on the Storm CAD log, or on the PIM policy log.

3.11 Seizure of clothing and or equipment

On arrival at the PIP suite, PSD (in liaison with the IOPC if applicable) will decide whether or not it will be necessary to retain clothing and / or equipment of officers as exhibits.

Items of Merseyside Police issue uniform and equipment remain the property of Merseyside Police and can be retained without the permission of officers.

However personal items belonging to officers / staff may not be seized unless a criminal investigation exists (which should never be the case in a PIP suite) or there is a genuine investigational need, and the officer / member of staff provides their consent freely in order to aid the progress of the investigation.

Clothing should not be seized from officers as a matter of routine. There should be a genuine investigatory requirement which PSD/IOPC will explain to the PIM who will brief the officers / staff concerned.

If clothing is to be seized from officers, then suitable replacement clothing must be made immediately available. Paper suits will never be acceptable replacement clothing.

Should any clothing/equipment be secured which are contaminated with hazardous biological substances they are to be stored in appropriate approved locations. After handling containers personnel must wash their hands and not eat or drink in the vicinity of where hazardous material is stored. Hazardous substances must be disposed of safely and responsibly to prevent injury / contamination to those individuals undertaking the disposal or other persons in the workplace.

When cleared following forensic examination etc. materials contaminated by bio-hazardous liquids such as blood and other body fluids may be made safe by using bactericidal/viricidal spray (e.g. Trigene or Response Spray). The sprays and spill kits should be available to all staff. Always seek advice from your local Force Health & Safety Officer on the correct procedures for disposing of such material.

3.12 Notifying next of kin re deaths

There should be no unnecessary delay in identifying and notifying the next of kin in the case of a death. The FIM/PSD should ensure that staff have been tasked to perform this role expeditiously.

3.13 Welfare of Key Police Witnesses

A Post Incident Procedure is not a criminal investigation and officers / staff should not be considered suspects. Ensuring the welfare of officers and staff is addressed is a key concept of Post Incident Procedures.

It should be borne in mind that it is not only the Key Police Witnesses who may have been affected by a DSI incident. Other direct witnesses, or indeed officers and staff not at the scene (for example control room staff) may have been affected, especially in the case of deaths. Welfare support for ALL involved should be addressed when possible and ideally, within 72 hours of the incident.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of welfare considerations which the PIM should address:

- Anonymity. Refer to [section 3.7](#).
- Provision for access to legal advice and federation / staff association representation should be made as soon as possible. Officers / staff will be under no obligation to provide any accounts to the PIM until they have received access to legal advice.
- Officers / staff may be supported by a friend or trusted colleague, not involved in the DSI incident who is assigned to accompany them. This will be particularly important if separation has been implemented. This person may also be used in accompanying the officer / member of staff home at the conclusion of the PIP.
- Officers / staff should not be separated from each other unnecessarily and it should be recognised that the company and support, which colleagues can offer each other, is important in allowing officers to diffuse a stressful situation and manage levels of stress which may be induced by experiencing a PIP. See [section 3.10](#).
- Provision should be made for access to telephones, so that officers / staff are able to call family and friends.
- Should officers / support staff uniform be contaminated (for example with blood) suitable and comfortable replacement clothing should be provided, regardless of whether their uniform is to be seized or not.
- There must be adequate provision of toilets and showering facilities.
- Should an officer / member of staff require medical examination, a doctor will be called to attend the PIP suite.
- The services of the Occupational Health Unit should be made available also. This should not take place until the Stage 4 detailed accounts have been

completed as non-conferring advice will still be in place up until conclusion of Stage 4.

- Officers / staff should receive regular updates as to the progress of the investigation, the condition of the subject and the elements of the PIP which remain to be addressed before they will go off duty, in order to give some sense of how much longer they will be engaged in the PIP.
- Adequate food and drink should be arranged for officers and staff in the PIP suite.
- When the PIP is either concluded or suspended, consideration should be given as to how officers will get home. It is highly likely that officers will have either been on duty long after the end of their rostered tour or will not be up to driving themselves due to their state of mind. As such it will commonly be necessary to convey them home using police vehicles. The use of unmarked vehicles, if at all possible, may be preferable.
- Consideration should be given as to the effect the DSI incident may also have on the officer / member of staff's family. It may be necessary to provide a Family Liaison Officer (FLO), especially if the officer / member of staff has been publicly identified. It may be necessary to brief families on the possible effects of a traumatic incident on the officer / member of staff.
- It may be that an officer is suspended from duty in relation to the investigation and a plan for on-going contact and support will be required. In cases where suspension is not a concern, consideration should be given to whether the officer / member of staff would benefit from the allowance of special leave.

3.14 Obtaining accounts

Conferring

Stage three and four accounts should be made without conferring between officers. This is of fundamental importance in achieving the aim of a PIP procedure in maintaining public confidence and trust.

Please refer to [section 3.4](#) for a full explanation of the rules around conferring.

Body worn video (BWV)

Officers may view and use any BWV footage obtained by a camera mounted on their OWN person, in order to complete their stage 4 detailed account.

BWV footage may highlight to the witness a difference between what they perceived (honestly held belief) / have reported in their initial account and what appears on the footage. This will give the witness the opportunity to explain that there is an apparent difference between their own perception and the footage.

Audio recording equipment.

Officers may avail themselves of the content of audio recording devices prior to completion of stage 3 and 4 accounts.

Stage one account (situation report).

The situation report is the initial notification of a DSI incident from an officer at scene to the control room. The report should include sufficient detail as to the basic facts of what has happened / is happening, in order to allow the FIM to make decisions as to how the incident should be managed and to perform his/her obligations regarding PIP.

Stage two account (PIM basic facts).

At the PIP suite, the PIM will be responsible for obtaining the stage two account. If at all possible, the account should be obtained from an officer or member of staff who is not a Key Police Witness. It can be provided orally or in writing. A federation officer or staff representative may be present.

This account should contain sufficient detail to:

- Confirm the identity of the officers / members of staff who were at the scene.
- Describe, in brief, their roles.
- Confirm who, for example: used force, was driving the Police vehicle, etc. Pseudonyms will be used if anonymity is being granted.

The account must be timed, dated and signed by the officer providing it to the PIM.

The PIM will retain a copy and provide the original to the IOPC as soon as possible.

If the only officer who can provide this account is a Key Police Witness, then the PIM should be especially mindful of the need for basic facts only and should ensure the opportunity to take legal advice is given before providing the account.

This account may be regarded as the first account of the officer / member of staff and could be disclosed in court.

Stage three accounts (personal initial accounts).

Each officer / member of staff will provide a personal initial account before going off duty. This should be a timed, dated and signed written account, recorded on Form MG11, referring only to the individual's recollection of events. Stage 3 accounts should be provided BEFORE witnesses are allowed to view any video footage including footage from Body Worn Video (BWV).

A personal initial account should record the individual's:

- Identity (or pseudonym).
- Understanding of the nature of the incident. (For example: deployed to a call for service relating to a fight in the street, a detainee in custody relating to an

offence of drunk & disorderly, a pre-planned operation to arrest a wanted subject, engaged in a police pursuit of a stolen vehicle...).

- Role in relation to the incident.
- Essential details of the witness' recollection of force being used, or of the decisions and actions made leading to the instance of a DSI incident. (For example: the nature of force used and what the subject was doing at that time or the actions of a Police driver and the subject driver in a Police pursuit in the moments leading to an RTC).
- If the witness used force, their honestly held belief that resulted in their use of force.

Given the status of the personal initial and detailed accounts and the potential disclosure in any subsequent legal, conduct or coronial proceedings, they must be recorded on a formal document namely a Form MG11 headed with the appropriate statutory declaration.

Stage four accounts (detailed accounts, statements Interviews).

The detailed account will not be obtained immediately during a PIP. They will usually be obtained after a period of at least 48 hours, giving officers and staff time to diffuse and be better able to provide an accurate detailed account.

The IOPC or PSD may require a stage 4 account in the form of a witness statement. Where officers or members of staff provide their own written statement (as opposed to having it taken by an investigator), it should be provided to the IOPC/PSD within seven days of the DSI incident.

Given the status of the personal initial and detailed accounts and the potential disclosure in any subsequent legal, conduct or coronial proceedings, they must be recorded on a formal document namely a Form MG11 headed with the appropriate statutory declaration.

The IOPC or PSD may instead require the account instead be provided in the form of an interview. Every effort will be made to arrange a mutually convenient date, but the interview cannot be delayed unduly. The IOPC have a legal power to compel police witnesses to attend such an interview. In this case, if the witness is genuinely unable to attend on that date, he or she must provide an alternative which is within 5 working days of the original date.

Where possible any additional information required by the IOPC in terms of witness evidence should be facilitated via the Stage 4 process. It is best practice to secure a list of questions to be answered in the Stage 4 accounts from the IOPC or PSD prior to commencing this stage of the process. This will reduce the likelihood of further requests for information from the KPW's and reduce the likelihood of Officers being compelled to interview.

Witnesses may be accompanied and receive legal advice. They cannot be compelled to answer questions during an interview.

The NPCC Bulletin (02AP'2026) dated 15/01/2026 from Chief Constable Simon Chesterman has introduced some changes with regards to reference material that can be accessed by KPW's;

****KPW's should NOT view a colleague's BWC footage if that footage shows a "Significantly different perspective of the incident."***

****Only in exceptional circumstances and with the agreement of the IIA should remote footage such as CCTV or Drone footage be viewed by KPW's***

****KPW's should not feel obligated to describe everything captured by BWC footage if they have no personal recollection of it. If they do so then they should distinguish this accordingly in their account.***

At the conclusion of the Stage 4 Accounts the PIM is responsible for ensuring Use of Force Forms are completed by KPW's if they have used force during the incident. If anonymity/protected identities are in place then the PIM will consider whether it is practicable for a third party, not involved in the incident should complete the UOF Forms on behalf of the KPWs. Consultation has taken place with PSD Command Team who have stated that only the "Tick box" sections of the forms are required to be completed for Home Office statistics purposes and the "Free text" sections do not require completion.

If the PIP relates to a Police Shooting Incident then the PIM is responsible for ensuring that a Firearms Discharge Notification Form is completed and submitted to NPCC as per the requirements in the Armed Policing APP. This includes AEP discharges as well as conventional firearms. It is envisaged that the PIM will appoint a Supervisor from the Firearms Department who has not been involved in the incident to fulfil this requirement.

3.15 Custody Suite Incidents

Authorised Professional Practice provides specific guidance in relation to DSI incidents in custody. This procedure has been written with cognisance of APP guidance, so that where there are deaths or serious injuries in custody, the provisions of the procedure will be adequate to meet the requirements laid out therein.

However, APP also covers such incidents in custody as attempted suicides, where officers or staff have made successful interventions. Such circumstances would clearly not be covered by this DSI procedure and so the adverse incident procedures already in place will continue to apply in such circumstances to ensure that force custody suites continue to comply with the requirements of APP.

Where this procedure IS applied to a custody DSI incident, the following are considerations specific to the custody setting, in addition to the rest of this procedure.

- Where available, the custody Inspector will be most likely to assume the role of Bronze Commander, as specified in section 2.1. Should a custody Inspector not be available then the duty Critical Incident Manager will perform this role.
- Custody whiteboards should be photographed, and a screenshot taken of digital whiteboards on Niche RMS.
- Consideration should be given to the fact that other Detained Persons may be witnesses. Consideration should be given to removing such witnesses from the scene, but their identities must be properly recorded first.
- Consideration should be given as to whether the incident is so serious as to necessitate closure of the relevant custody suite. The duty FIM will be consulted on this matter and will ultimately decide whether this course of action is necessary in the circumstances.
- In the case of serious injury incidents, the custody record of the DP must be fully updated with all actions taken. However, in the case of a death, a final entry should be made, before closing the custody record. All further information will then be recorded on the scene log / Storm CAD log.

If a decision is taken to close the relevant custody suite the bronze commander will consider requesting via the FIM/FDO to call Operation Resolve to facilitate movement of detainees to other custody suites.

3.16 Road Traffic Collision Incidents

Where there has been a DSI incident as a result of a Police Vehicle Collision (as defined by the Police Vehicle Collisions Policy), the IIO (PSD) will liaise with the Roads Policing Unit (RPU) who will manage the scene and appoint an investigator suitably qualified in the specialist nature of such an investigation.

If a detective has yet to be appointed as IIO then the RPU Sgt will attend the scene and assume the role of IIO. The IIO will normally remain at scene, managing the scene, staff in attendance and initial lines of investigation.

The IIO will be responsible for requesting the attendance of the Forensic Collision Investigator who will map and record all scene evidence.

The IIO will appoint an RPU family liaison officer. They are normally responsible for delivering any death message.

No Police vehicles will be removed from the scene until authorised by the IIO who is advised by the RPU investigator. Furthermore, vehicles must not be driven from the scene unless the Incident Data Recorder (IDR) has already been downloaded at scene. If it has not, then vehicles must be removed by ROTA with a 'full lift'.

If IDR's have not been automatically activated, they must be manually activated immediately following the incident.

3.17 Incidents involving Negotiators

In incidents which occur as a consequence of or involve a negotiator deployment, a negotiator co-ordinator should be consulted. The negotiator co-ordinator can advise the PIM or IIO regarding the understanding of processes in relation to negotiator tactics. The co-ordinator can also assist the PIM with providing the requisite support.

3.18 Debriefs

Debriefs offer an important opportunity for organisational learning and for assisting officers / supervisors in identifying developmental needs, as well as identifying good practice.

It is recognised that debriefs will form an important role in assessing the success or otherwise in application of this policy and may inform future amendment.

However, it is important to note that in circumstances where there is an on-going investigation, including Post Incident Procedures, it will be necessary to delay any debrief pending the conclusion of that investigation.

Post Incident Managers are to ensure that any issues that may require de-briefing are brought to the attention of an individual's immediate line manager to ensure that support is provided, and they are signposted to professional sources of help should this be required.

De-briefing should not take place until after the Stage 4 accounts have been recorded from Officers.

4. PIP venues

4.1 Selection and activation of PIP venues

The PIM will identify which PIP venue will be used.

The PIM will then ensure that officers or staff are deployed to the venue in order to prepare for the arrival of officers / staff involved in the PIP.

Consideration should be given, not just to the geographical location of the chosen venue in relation to the incident, but to factors such as impact on the occupancy / use of the venue at the time or near future and the size of the venue in relation to the scale of the PIP proposed.

- Firearms Range, De Havilland Drive, Speke
- Operational Command Centre, Leeward Drive, Speke.

5. Glossary

5.1 Definitions

The definition of a death or serious injury (DSI) is set out in chapter 7 of the IOPC Statutory Guidance:

A DSI matter means any circumstances (unless the circumstances are or have been the subject of a complaint or amount to a conduct matter) in, or as a result of which, a person has died or sustained serious injury and:

at the time of death or serious injury the person had been arrested by a person serving with the police and had not been released or was otherwise detained in the custody of a person serving with the police; or at or before the time of death or serious injury the person had contact of any kind – whether direct or indirect – with a person serving with the police who was acting in the execution of their duties and there is an indication that the contact may have caused – whether directly or indirectly – or contributed to the death or serious injury. However, this sub-category excludes contact that a person who suffered the death or serious injury had whilst they were acting in the execution of their duties as a person serving with the police.

Section 12, Police Reform Act 2002

Death in Police contact (five categories from IOPC):

- 1) Road traffic fatalities. Includes deaths of motorists, cyclists or pedestrians arising from police pursuits, police vehicles responding to emergency calls and other police traffic-related activity.

This does not include: Deaths following a road traffic incident (RTI) where the police have attended immediately after the event as an emergency service.

- 2) Fatal shootings.

- 3) Deaths in or following police custody. Includes deaths that occur while a person is being arrested or taken into detention. It includes deaths of people who have been arrested or have been detained by police under the Mental Health Act 1983. The death may have taken place on police, private or medical premises, in a public place or in a police or other vehicle.

This includes:

- Deaths that occur during or following police custody where injuries that contributed to the death were sustained during the period of detention.
- Deaths that occur in or on the way to hospital (or other medical premises) following or during transfer from scene of arrest or police custody.

- Deaths that occur as a result of injuries or other medical problems that are identified or that develop while a person is in custody.
- Deaths that occur while a person is in police custody having been detained under Section 136 of the Mental Health Act 1983 or other related legislation.

This does not include:

- Suicides that occur after a person has been released from police custody.
- Deaths that occur where the police are called to assist medical staff to restrain individuals who are not under arrest.

- 4) Apparent suicides following police custody includes apparent suicides that occur within two days of release from police custody. This category also includes apparent suicides that occur beyond two days of release from custody where the period spent in custody may be relevant to the subsequent death.
- 5) Other deaths following police contact includes deaths that follow contact with the police, either directly or indirectly, that did not involve arrest or detention under the Mental Health Act 1983 and were subject to an IOPC independent investigation. An independent investigation is determined by the IOPC for the most serious incidents that cause the greatest level of public concern, have the greatest potential to impact on communities or that have serious implications for the reputation of the police service. Since 2010/11, this category has included only deaths that have been subject to an IOPC independent investigation. This is to improve consistency in the reporting of these deaths.

This may include:

- Deaths that occur after the police are called to attend a domestic incident that results in a fatality.
- Deaths that occur while a person is actively attempting to evade arrest; this includes instances where the death is self-inflicted.
- Deaths that occur when the police attend a siege situation, including where a person kills themselves or someone else.
- Deaths that occur after the police have been contacted following concerns about a person's welfare and there is concern about the nature of the police response.
- Deaths that occur where the police are called to assist medical staff to restrain individuals who are not under arrest

Post Incident Procedure.

A formally defined process, providing a means of securing and preserving evidence relating to a police incident and obtaining untainted personal accounts from officers based on their honestly held belief.

Key Police Witness (formerly Principal Officer).

Not to be confused with a key witness as used in crime cases on MG5 forms. In the context of a PIP, a Key Police Witness is any officer or member of staff who can give direct evidence of the circumstances leading to a use of force, or leading to a decision made / action taken, which led to a death or serious injury.

5.2 Abbreviations

BWV	Body Worn Video
DSI	Death or Serious Injury
FIM	Force Incident Manager
IIO	Initial Investigating Officer
NPCC	National Police Chief's Council (Formerly ACPO).
PIP	Post Incident Procedure
PIM	Post Incident Manager
IOPC	Independent Office of Police Conduct (Formerly IPCC)